

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/325,536	(06/04/1999	KEVIN BOYLE	47004.000040 2934	
21967	7590	04/11/2003			
HUNTON &			EXAMINER		
1900 K STR	EET, N.W	OPERTY DEPART ⁷ .	THOMPSON JR, FOREST		
SUITE 1200 WASHINGT		20006-1109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3625		
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
· Advisory Action	09/325,536	BOYLE ET AL.
7. 	Examiner	Art Unit
	Forest Thompson Jr.	3625
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 17 MARCH TO PLACE TO A REPLY FILED 17 MARCH TO PLACE	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of the c	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);
(b) _ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-25.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>2</u>	2: ,, /+
10. ☐ Other: Furnt Though Au 3621- (703) 306-5449		John A. Smith Primary Examiner
(703)306-5749		

Gontinuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1 would not require additional search if the amendment was entered, as the amended feature has been rejected in the rejection of claim 4 in Paper #17. The proposed amended language in independent claims 5, 11, 17, and 21 would require additional search for the proposed amended feature (i.e., including in said database information describing admission of said cardholders into said plurality of clubs, merchants and service providers) in combination with the existing language of these independent claims.